

# Spaces of holomorphic functions on non-balanced domains

Jerónimo López-Salazar Codes

*Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, Spain*

---

## A B S T R A C T

This paper studies the coincidence of the  $\tau_\omega$  and  $\tau_\delta$  topologies on the space of holomorphic functions defined on an open subset  $U$  of a Banach space. Dineen and Mujica proved that  $\tau_\omega = \tau_\delta$  when  $U$  is a balanced open subset of a separable Banach space with the bounded approximation property. Here, we study the  $\tau_\omega = \tau_\delta$  problem for several types of non-balanced domains  $U$ .

### *Keywords:*

Holomorphic function  
Nachbin topology  
 $\tau_\delta$  topology

---

## 1. Introduction

When  $U$  is an open subset of a complex Banach space  $E$ , three topologies are usually considered on the space  $H(U)$  of all holomorphic functions on  $U$ : the compact open topology  $\tau_0$ , the Nachbin topology  $\tau_\omega$  and the bornological topology  $\tau_\delta$  (the definitions are given below). It is known that  $\tau_0 = \tau_\omega = \tau_\delta$  if  $E$  is finite dimensional, while  $\tau_0 < \tau_\omega \leq \tau_\delta$  if  $E$  is infinite dimensional and several researchers have been interested in characterizing those spaces  $E$  such that  $\tau_\omega = \tau_\delta$ . The first positive result on that problem was obtained by Dineen [6] in 1972. He proved that if  $E$  is a Banach space with an unconditional Schauder basis and  $U$  is a balanced open subset of  $E$ , then  $\tau_\omega = \tau_\delta$  on  $H(U)$ . Soon after, Cœuré [4] proved an analogous theorem for the space  $E = L^1[0, 2\pi]$ . Finally, in the nineties, Dineen [7, Corollary 4.18] and Mujica [10] independently obtained the most general result about the problem that we are considering:

**Theorem 1** (*Dineen, Mujica*). *If  $E$  is a separable Banach space with the bounded approximation property and  $U$  is a balanced open subset of  $E$ , then  $\tau_\omega = \tau_\delta$  on  $H(U)$ .*

Let us recall that  $U$  is said to be balanced if  $\lambda x \in U$  for all  $x \in U$  and all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $|\lambda| \leq 1$ . We also recall that a separable Banach space has the bounded approximation property if and only if it is isomorphic to a complemented subspace of a Banach space with a Schauder basis.

In this work, we obtain a result similar to Theorem 1 for some non-balanced domains:

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $E$  be a separable Banach space with the bounded approximation property and let  $U$  be a balanced open subset in  $E$ . If  $A$  is a closed bounded subset of  $E$  such that  $A \subset U$  and  $U \setminus A$  is connected, then  $\tau_\omega = \tau_\delta$  on  $H(U \setminus A)$ .*

As far as we know, this theorem gives the first examples of non-balanced open domains such that  $\tau_\omega = \tau_\delta$ .

Let us explain the notation that appears in this work. Throughout the article, the letter  $E$  always denotes a complex Banach space and  $B_E(x, r)$  represents the open ball in  $E$  with center  $x \in E$  and radius  $r > 0$ . A seminorm  $p$  on  $H(U)$  is ported by a compact subset  $K \subset U$  if for every open subset  $V$  with  $K \subset V \subset U$  there is a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$p(f) \leq C \sup_{x \in V} |f(x)|$$

for all  $f \in H(U)$ . The Nachbin topology  $\tau_\omega$  is the locally convex topology on  $H(U)$  defined by all the seminorms ported by the compact subsets of  $U$ .

The symbol  $\tau_\delta$  represents the locally convex topology on  $H(U)$  defined by all the seminorms  $p$  on  $H(U)$  with the following property: for each increasing countable open cover of  $U$ ,  $(V_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ , there exist  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $C > 0$  such that

$$p(f) \leq C \sup_{x \in V_{n_0}} |f(x)|$$

for all  $f \in H(U)$ . The reader is referred to the book of Mujica [11] for the main properties of holomorphic functions on infinite dimensional spaces and to book of Dineen [7] for an extensive study of the  $\tau_\omega$  and the  $\tau_\delta$  topologies.

## 2. Hartogs's Theorem in Banach spaces

Our study about the  $\tau_\omega = \tau_\delta$  problem on  $H(U \setminus A)$  strongly depends on the existence of holomorphic extension from  $U \setminus A$  to  $U$  and that has led us to consider generalizations of the classical Hartogs's Theorem. This result states that if  $U$  is an open subset of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  with  $n \geq 2$ ,  $K$  is a compact subset of  $U$  and  $U \setminus K$  is connected, then for every  $f \in H(U \setminus K)$  there is  $\tilde{f} \in H(U)$  such that  $\tilde{f} = f$  on  $U \setminus K$ . In 1968, Alexander [1, p. 48] proved the same result when  $U$  is a bounded domain in a Banach space  $E$  with dimension  $\dim(E) \geq 2$ . Later, in 1985, Mujica [11, Theorem 22.6] proved it when  $E$  is a separable Hilbert space; in this last case,  $U$  can be unbounded. In 1970, Ramis [12, pp. 26–27] presented a general version of the theorem for all open subsets  $U$  and all Banach spaces  $E$  with  $\dim(E) \geq 2$ . However, Ramis's proof is very brief and it seems that it could contain some gaps. Because of that and for the sake of completeness, we prove here a particular version of Hartogs's Theorem that will be used in the study of the  $\tau_\omega$  and  $\tau_\delta$  topologies. We will need the following two results.

**Theorem 3.** *If  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $M = \mathbb{R}^n$  or  $M = \mathbb{C}^n$ , then every balanced open subset of  $M$  is homeomorphic to  $M$ .*

**Proof.** The proof in the real case appears in Berger [2, Theorem 11.3.6.1]. The complex case can be deduced if  $\mathbb{C}^n$  is topologically identified with  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4 (Zorn).** *Let  $U$  be a connected open subset of a Banach space  $E$ . A function  $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is holomorphic on  $U$  if both  $f$  is continuous at a point of  $U$  and  $f|_{M \cap U}$  is holomorphic on  $M \cap U$  for every finite dimensional subspace  $M \subset E$ .*

**Proof.** See Dineen [7, Example 3.8b].  $\square$

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $U$  be a balanced open subset of a Banach space  $E$  with  $\dim(E) \geq 2$ . Let  $A$  be a closed bounded subset of  $E$  such that  $A \subset U$  and  $U \setminus A$  is connected. If  $f \in H(U \setminus A)$ , then there is a unique  $\tilde{f} \in H(U)$  such that  $\tilde{f} = f$  on  $U \setminus A$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $M$  be any subspace of  $E$  with  $2 \leq \dim(M) < \infty$ . The set

$$V = M \cap U$$

is balanced and open in  $M$ . The set

$$A' = M \cap A$$

is closed and bounded in  $M$ , so  $A'$  is a compact subset of  $V$ . By Theorem 3, there is a homeomorphism  $\varphi : V \rightarrow M$ . Hence  $\varphi(A')$  is a compact subset of  $M$ . If  $R = \max_{x \in A'} \|\varphi(x)\|$ , then  $K = \varphi^{-1}(\overline{B_M}(0, R))$  is compact and

$$A' \subset K \subset V.$$

Since  $M \setminus \overline{B_M}(0, R)$  is connected,  $V \setminus K$  is connected as well. By Hartogs's Theorem for finite dimensional spaces, there is  $g_M \in H(V)$  such that  $g_M = f$  on  $V \setminus K$ . We define

$$\tilde{f} = g_M \quad \text{on } V.$$

The definition of  $\tilde{f}$  does not depend on the choice of  $M$ . Indeed, let  $M$  and  $N$  be subspaces of  $E$  with  $2 \leq \dim(M) < \infty$  and  $2 \leq \dim(N) < \infty$ . Let  $L = M + N$ . As we have seen previously, there are compact subsets  $K_1$ ,  $K_2$  and  $K_3$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} M \cap A &\subset K_1 \subset V_1 = M \cap U, \\ N \cap A &\subset K_2 \subset V_2 = N \cap U, \\ L \cap A &\subset K_3 \subset V_3 = L \cap U. \end{aligned}$$

There are also holomorphic functions  $g_M \in H(V_1)$ ,  $g_N \in H(V_2)$  and  $g_L \in H(V_3)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} g_M &= f \quad \text{on } V_1 \setminus K_1, \\ g_N &= f \quad \text{on } V_2 \setminus K_2, \\ g_L &= f \quad \text{on } V_3 \setminus K_3. \end{aligned}$$

The set  $M \cap K_3$  is contained in  $V_1$ :

$$M \cap K_3 \subset M \cap V_3 = M \cap L \cap U = M \cap U = V_1.$$

Then  $K_1 \cup (M \cap K_3)$  is a compact subset of  $V_1$ , so  $V_1 \setminus (K_1 \cup (M \cap K_3)) \neq \emptyset$ . Hence

$$g_L = f = g_M \quad \text{on } V_1 \setminus (K_1 \cup (M \cap K_3)) \neq \emptyset.$$

By the Identity Theorem, we have that  $g_L = g_M$  on  $V_1$ . Similarly,  $g_L = g_N$  on  $V_2$ . Therefore, if  $x \in M \cap N \cap U = V_1 \cap V_2$ , then

$$g_M(x) = g_L(x) = g_N(x).$$

This proves that the definition of  $\tilde{f}$  does not depend on the choice of the finite dimensional subspace  $M$ .

By the definition of  $\tilde{f}$ , if  $M$  is a subspace of  $E$  such that  $2 \leq \dim(M) < \infty$ , then  $\tilde{f}|_{M \cap U} = g_M \in H(M \cap U)$ . By Theorem 4, in order to show that  $\tilde{f}$  is holomorphic on  $U$ , we only have to prove that  $\tilde{f}$  is continuous at a point of  $U$ . Let  $r > 0$  be such that  $A \subset \overline{B_E}(0, r)$  and let  $e \in E$  with  $\|e\| = 1$ . The set

$$\{t \geq 0: te \in A \cup \{0\}\}$$

is closed and non-empty in  $[0, r]$ . Therefore, there exists

$$\alpha = \max\{t \geq 0: te \in A \cup \{0\}\}.$$

Let

$$\beta = \sup\{t > 0: te \in U\}$$

( $\beta$  could be infinite). Since  $U$  is open, it follows that  $\beta e \notin U$ . As  $\alpha e \in A \cup \{0\} \subset U$ , we deduce that  $\alpha < \beta$ . Since  $U$  is balanced, if  $\alpha < t < \beta$ , then  $te \in U \setminus A$ , so there is  $r_t > 0$  such that  $B_E(te, r_t) \subset U \setminus A$ . The set

$$W = \bigcup_{\alpha < t < \beta} B_E(te, r_t)$$

is open and it is contained in  $U \setminus A$ .

Let  $x \in W$ . If  $M$  is a two dimensional subspace of  $E$  such that  $x, e \in M$ ,  $V = M \cap U$  and  $A' = M \cap A$ , we have seen previously that there is a compact subset  $K \subset V$  and there is  $g_M \in H(V)$  such that  $A' \subset K \subset V$  and  $g_M = f$  on  $V \setminus K$ . Let

$$W' = \bigcup_{\alpha < t < \beta} B_M(te, r_t).$$

Then

$$W' = M \cap W \subset M \cap (U \setminus A) = V \setminus A'.$$

Let us remark that  $W'$  is connected because it is the union of the segment  $\{te: \alpha < t < \beta\}$  with the balls  $B_M(te, r_t)$  centered at the points of the segment.

As  $K$  is a compact subset of  $U$  and  $\beta e \notin U$ , there is  $t_0 < \beta$  such that  $t_0 e \notin K$ , that is,  $W' \setminus K \neq \emptyset$ . The functions  $f|_{V \setminus A'}$  and  $g_M$  are holomorphic on  $V \setminus A'$  and  $g_M = f$  on  $V \setminus K$ , so  $g_M = f$  on  $W' \setminus K$ . By the Identity Theorem,  $g_M = f$  on  $W'$ . Since  $x \in W \cap M = W'$ , it follows that

$$\tilde{f}(x) = g_M(x) = f(x).$$

Therefore,  $\tilde{f}(x) = f(x)$  for every  $x \in W$ , which implies the continuity of  $\tilde{f}$  on  $W$ . By Theorem 4,  $\tilde{f}$  is holomorphic on  $U$ .

The functions  $\tilde{f}$  and  $f$  are holomorphic on  $U \setminus A$  and it was proved that  $\tilde{f} = f$  on  $W$ . By hypothesis,  $U \setminus A$  is connected, so  $\tilde{f} = f$  on  $U \setminus A$ . The uniqueness of  $\tilde{f}$  is a consequence of  $U$  being connected.  $\square$

**Corollary 6.** *Let  $U$  be an open subset of a Banach space  $E$  with  $\dim(E) \geq 2$ . Let  $A$  be closed bounded subset of  $E$  with the following property: there is a balanced open subset  $V$  in  $E$  such that  $A \subset V \subset U$  and  $V \setminus A$  is connected. Then every holomorphic function on  $U \setminus A$  has a unique holomorphic extension to  $U$ .*

**Proof.** By [Theorem 5](#), if  $f \in H(U \setminus A)$ , then there is  $\tilde{f} \in H(V)$  such that  $\tilde{f} = f$  on  $V \setminus A$ . Thus, the function

$$g = \begin{cases} f & \text{on } U \setminus A, \\ \tilde{f} & \text{on } V \end{cases}$$

is well defined and is holomorphic on  $U$ . Moreover, if  $h$  is another holomorphic function on  $U$  such that  $h = f$  on  $U \setminus A$ , then  $h = f = \tilde{f}$  on  $V \setminus A$ . As  $V$  is connected,  $h = \tilde{f}$  on  $V$ . Therefore,  $h = g$  on  $U$ .  $\square$

### 3. The $\tau_\omega$ and the $\tau_\delta$ topologies on $H(U)$

In order to study the coincidence of the  $\tau_\omega$  and the  $\tau_\delta$  topologies on  $H(U)$ , we apply several already known theorems about holomorphic extensions, the envelope of holomorphy and the spectrum of  $H(U)$ . Let us recall the definition of these notions. If  $\tau$  is a topology on  $H(U)$ , the spectrum of  $(H(U), \tau)$ , denoted by  $\text{Spec}(H(U), \tau)$ , is the set of all non-zero multiplicative linear functions from  $H(U)$  into  $\mathbb{C}$  which are  $\tau$ -continuous.

A Riemann domain over a Banach space  $E$  is a pair  $(X, \pi)$  where  $X$  is a Hausdorff topological space and  $\pi : X \rightarrow E$  is a local homeomorphism. That means that for every  $x \in X$  there is an open set  $\Omega$  in  $X$  such that  $x \in \Omega$ ,  $\pi(\Omega)$  is open in  $E$  and  $\pi|_\Omega : \Omega \rightarrow \pi(\Omega)$  is a homeomorphism. A function  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is said to be holomorphic if  $f \circ (\pi|_\Omega)^{-1} \in H(\pi(\Omega))$  for every open subset  $\Omega \subset X$  such that  $\pi|_\Omega : \Omega \rightarrow \pi(\Omega)$  is a homeomorphism.

Let  $U$  be an open subset of  $E$  and let  $(X, \pi)$  be a Riemann domain over  $E$ . A continuous mapping  $\varphi : U \rightarrow X$  is said to be a holomorphic extension of  $U$  if  $\pi(\varphi(x)) = x$  for all  $x \in U$  and for every  $f \in H(U)$  there is an unique  $g \in H(X)$  such that  $g \circ \varphi = f$ . The envelope of holomorphy of  $U$  is a Riemann domain  $(\mathcal{E}(U), \pi)$  over  $E$  with the following properties:

- (a) There is a holomorphic extension  $\varphi : U \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(U)$ .
- (b) If  $(X_1, \pi_1)$  is another Riemann domain and  $\varphi_1 : U \rightarrow X_1$  is also a holomorphic extension, then there is a continuous mapping  $\psi : X_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(U)$  such that the following diagrams are commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \mathcal{E}(U) \\ & \searrow \varphi_1 & \nearrow \psi \\ & X_1 & \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} X_1 & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \mathcal{E}(U) \\ & \searrow \pi_1 & \nearrow \pi \\ & E & \end{array}$$

The envelope of holomorphy of  $U$  always exists and is unique up to isomorphism (see Mujica [\[11, Theorem 56.4\]](#)). The following theorems relate holomorphic extensions to the spectrum of  $H(U)$ .

**Theorem 7** (*Mujica, Schottenloher*). *If  $E$  is a separable Banach space with the bounded approximation property and  $U$  is a connected open subset of  $E$ , then  $\mathcal{E}(U) = \text{Spec}(H(U), \tau_0)$ .*

**Proof.** See Mujica [\[11, Corollary 58.10\]](#).  $\square$

**Theorem 8.** *Let  $U$  and  $\tilde{U}$  be connected open subsets of a Banach space such that  $U \subset \tilde{U}$ . Let us assume that for every  $f \in H(U)$  there is  $\tilde{f} \in H(\tilde{U})$  with  $\tilde{f} = f$  on  $U$ .*

1. *The mapping*

$$T : f \in (H(U), \tau) \mapsto \tilde{f} \in (H(\tilde{U}), \tau)$$

is a topological isomorphism for  $\tau = \tau_\delta$ .

2. If  $\tilde{U} \subset \text{Spec}(H(U), \tau_\omega)$ , then the mapping  $T$  is also a topological isomorphism for  $\tau = \tau_\omega$ .

**Proof.** The first statement is due to Cœuré [3] in the case of separable Banach spaces and to Hirschowitz [8] in the general case. The second statement is due to Dineen [6].  $\square$

Let us mention that Theorem 8 does not hold in general if  $\tilde{U}$  is not contained in  $\text{Spec}(H(U), \tau_\omega)$ . Josefson in [9] gave an example of a Banach space  $E$  and open subsets  $U \subset \tilde{U} \subset E$  such that the mapping  $T$  is not continuous for  $\tau = \tau_\omega$ .

**Theorem 9.** Let  $E$  be a separable Banach space with the bounded approximation property. Let  $U$  and  $\tilde{U}$  be connected open subsets of  $E$  such that  $U \subset \tilde{U}$  and suppose that for every  $f \in H(U)$  there is  $\tilde{f} \in H(\tilde{U})$  with  $\tilde{f} = f$  on  $U$ . Then  $\tau_\omega = \tau_\delta$  on  $H(U)$  if and only if  $\tau_\omega = \tau_\delta$  on  $H(\tilde{U})$ .

**Proof.** Let  $(\mathcal{E}(U), \pi)$  be the envelope of holomorphy of  $U$ . By hypothesis, the inclusion  $U \rightarrow \tilde{U}$  is a holomorphic extension. Hence there is a continuous mapping  $\psi : \tilde{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(U)$  such that the following diagrams are commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \mathcal{E}(U) \\ & \searrow & \nearrow \psi \\ & \tilde{U} & \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{U} & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \mathcal{E}(U) \\ & \searrow & \nearrow \pi \\ & E & \end{array}$$

The mappings from  $U$  into  $\tilde{U}$  and from  $\tilde{U}$  into  $E$  are the inclusions. If  $x, y \in \tilde{U}$  and  $\psi(x) = \psi(y)$ , then

$$x = \pi(\psi(x)) = \pi(\psi(y)) = y.$$

This shows that  $\psi$  is injective. As  $\psi$  is continuous and its inverse  $\psi^{-1} = \pi|_{\psi(\tilde{U})}$  is also continuous,  $\tilde{U}$  can be identified with a subset of  $\mathcal{E}(U)$ . By Theorem 7,  $\mathcal{E}(U) = \text{Spec}(H(U), \tau_0)$  and then

$$\tilde{U} \subset \mathcal{E}(U) = \text{Spec}(H(U), \tau_0) \subset \text{Spec}(H(U), \tau_\omega).$$

By Theorem 8, the mapping

$$f \in (H(U), \tau) \mapsto \tilde{f} \in (H(\tilde{U}), \tau)$$

is a topological isomorphism for  $\tau = \tau_\omega$  and  $\tau = \tau_\delta$ . Therefore,  $\tau_\omega = \tau_\delta$  on  $H(U)$  if and only if  $\tau_\omega = \tau_\delta$  on  $H(\tilde{U})$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 10.** Let  $E$  be a separable Banach space with the bounded approximation property and let  $U$  be a balanced open subset of  $E$ . If  $A$  is a closed bounded subset of  $E$  such that  $A \subset U$  and  $U \setminus A$  is connected, then  $\tau_\omega = \tau_\delta$  on  $H(U \setminus A)$ .

**Proof.** If  $\dim(E) < \infty$ , then  $\tau_0 = \tau_\omega = \tau_\delta$  on  $H(U \setminus A)$ . If  $\dim(E) = \infty$ , the result can be directly deduced from Theorems 1, 5 and 9.  $\square$

The following theorem does not require the space  $E$  to be separable or to have the bounded approximation property.

**Theorem 11.** *Let  $A$  be a closed bounded subset of a Banach space  $E$  such that  $E \setminus A$  is connected. Then  $\tau_\omega = \tau_\delta$  on  $H(E)$  if and only if  $\tau_\omega = \tau_\delta$  on  $H(E \setminus A)$ .*

**Proof.** If  $\dim(E) < \infty$ , then  $\tau_0 = \tau_\omega = \tau_\delta$  on  $H(E \setminus A)$  and  $\tau_0 = \tau_\omega = \tau_\delta$  on  $H(E)$ . Hence we can assume that  $\dim(E) = \infty$ . By Theorem 5, each  $f \in H(E \setminus A)$  has an extension  $\tilde{f} \in H(E)$ . By Theorem 8, the mapping

$$T : f \in (H(E \setminus A), \tau) \mapsto \tilde{f} \in (H(E), \tau)$$

is a topological isomorphism for  $\tau = \tau_\delta$ . We will prove that  $T$  is also a topological isomorphism for  $\tau = \tau_\omega$ .

Let us suppose that  $p$  be a continuous seminorm on  $(H(E), \tau_\omega)$ , ported by a compact subset  $K \subset E$ . By hypothesis, there is  $r > 0$  such that  $A \subset B_E(0, r)$ . Let

$$K_1 = \{x \in K : \|x\| \geq r\},$$

$$K_2 = \{x \in K : \|x\| \leq r\}.$$

Note that  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are compact subsets of  $E$ , that  $K = K_1 \cup K_2$  and

$$K_1 \subset E \setminus B_E(0, r) \subset E \setminus A.$$

We choose  $e \in E$  such that  $\|e\| = 1$  and define the following compact subset of  $E$ :

$$\tilde{K}_2 = \{x + \lambda e : x \in K_2, \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |\lambda| = 2r\}.$$

If  $x + \lambda e \in \tilde{K}_2$ , then

$$\|x + \lambda e\| \geq \|\lambda e\| - \|x\| \geq 2r - r = r.$$

This implies that

$$\tilde{K}_2 \subset E \setminus B_E(0, r) \subset E \setminus A.$$

Therefore,  $K_1 \cup \tilde{K}_2$  is a compact subset of  $E \setminus A$ .

We will prove that the seminorm

$$f \in H(E \setminus A) \mapsto p \circ T(f) = p(\tilde{f})$$

is ported by  $K_1 \cup \tilde{K}_2$ . Let  $V$  be an open subset of  $E$  such that

$$K_1 \cup \tilde{K}_2 \subset V \subset E \setminus A.$$

Then there is an open neighborhood of zero  $W \subset E$  such that

$$(K_1 \cup \tilde{K}_2) + W \subset V.$$

As  $K + W$  is an open neighborhood of  $K$  and  $p$  is ported by  $K$ , there exists  $C > 0$  such that

$$p(g) \leq C \sup_{z \in K+W} |g(z)| = C \sup_{z \in (K_1 \cup \tilde{K}_2) + W} |g(z)| \quad (1)$$

for all  $g \in H(E)$ . As  $K_1 + W$  is contained in  $V$ ,

$$\sup_{z \in K_1 + W} |g(z)| \leq \sup_{z \in V} |g(z)| \quad (2)$$

for all  $g \in H(E)$ .

Now we are going to prove that also

$$\sup_{z \in K_2 + W} |g(z)| \leq \sup_{z \in V} |g(z)|$$

for all  $g \in H(E)$ . Let  $g \in H(E)$ ,  $x \in K_2$  and  $y \in W$ . The function of one complex variable

$$h(\lambda) = g(x + y + \lambda e)$$

is holomorphic on  $\mathbb{C}$ . By the Maximum Modulus Theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} |g(x + y)| &= |h(0)| \leq \sup_{|\lambda|=2r} |h(\lambda)| = \sup_{|\lambda|=2r} |g(x + y + \lambda e)| \\ &\leq \sup_{z \in \widetilde{K}_2 + W} |g(z)| \leq \sup_{z \in V} |g(z)|. \end{aligned}$$

This holds for all  $x \in K_2$  and all  $y \in W$ , so

$$\sup_{z \in K_2 + W} |g(z)| \leq \sup_{z \in V} |g(z)|. \quad (3)$$

By the inequalities (1), (2) and (3),

$$p(g) \leq C \sup_{z \in V} |g(z)|$$

for all  $g \in H(E)$ .

Given  $f \in H(E \setminus A)$ , let  $\tilde{f} \in H(E)$  such that  $\tilde{f} = f$  on  $E \setminus A$ . Then

$$p \circ T(f) = p(\tilde{f}) \leq C \sup_{z \in V} |\tilde{f}(z)| = C \sup_{z \in V} |f(z)|.$$

This proves that the seminorm

$$f \in H(E \setminus A) \mapsto p \circ T(f)$$

is ported by  $K_1 \cup \widetilde{K}_2$ , so  $p \circ T$  is continuous on  $(H(E \setminus A), \tau_\omega)$ . That holds for every continuous seminorm  $p$  on  $(H(E), \tau_\omega)$ . Therefore, the mapping  $T$  is continuous for  $\tau = \tau_\omega$ .

Finally, it is easy to check that the inverse

$$T^{-1} : g \in (H(E), \tau_\omega) \mapsto g|_{E \setminus A} \in (H(E \setminus A), \tau_\omega)$$

is continuous. Indeed, if  $q$  is a seminorm on  $H(E \setminus A)$  ported by a compact subset  $K' \subset E \setminus A$ , then  $q \circ T^{-1}$  is also ported by  $K'$ . Thus,  $T$  is a topological isomorphism for  $\tau = \tau_\omega$  and for  $\tau = \tau_\delta$ . Therefore,  $\tau_\omega = \tau_\delta$  on  $H(E \setminus A)$  if and only if  $\tau_\omega = \tau_\delta$  on  $H(E)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 12.** *If  $A$  is a closed bounded subset of  $\ell_\infty$  such that  $\ell_\infty \setminus A$  is connected, then  $\tau_\omega \neq \tau_\delta$  on  $H(\ell_\infty \setminus A)$ .*

**Proof.** Dineen [5] proved that  $\tau_\omega \neq \tau_\delta$  on  $H(\ell_\infty)$  and then the result follows from Theorem 11.  $\square$



## Acknowledgments

The results of this paper have been discussed several times with professors José María Martínez Ansemil, Jorge Mujica and Socorro Ponte Miramontes. I am very grateful to them for their contributions and their kind suggestions while this work was being written.

## References

- [1] H. Alexander, Analytic functions on Banach spaces, thesis, University of California, Berkeley, 1968.
- [2] M. Berger, Geometry I, Springer-Verlag, 1987.
- [3] G. Cœuré, Fonctions plurisousharmoniques sur les espaces vectoriels topologiques et applications à l'étude des fonctions analytiques, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 20 (1970) 361–432.
- [4] G. Cœuré, Fonctionnelles analytiques sur certains espaces de Banach, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 21 (1971) 15–21.
- [5] S. Dineen, Bounding subsets of a Banach space, Math. Ann. 192 (1971) 61–70.
- [6] S. Dineen, Holomorphic functions on  $(c_0, X_b)$ -modules, Math. Ann. 196 (1972) 106–116.
- [7] S. Dineen, Complex Analysis on Infinite Dimensional Spaces, Springer-Verlag, 1999.
- [8] A. Hirschowitz, Prolongement analytique en dimension infinie, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 22 (1972) 255–292.
- [9] B. Josefson, A counterexample in the Levi problem, in: Proceedings on Infinite Dimensional Holomorphy, in: Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 364, 1974, pp. 168–177.
- [10] J. Mujica, Spaces of holomorphic mappings on Banach spaces with a Schauder basis, Studia Math. 122 (1997) 139–151.
- [11] J. Mujica, Complex Analysis in Banach Spaces, Dover Publications, 2010.
- [12] J. Ramis, Sous-ensembles analytiques d'une variété banachique complexe, Springer, 1970.